



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,521	07/14/2003	Qing Deng	10003809-7	7465
57299	7590	08/24/2006	EXAMINER	
AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. P.O. BOX 1920 DENVER, CO 80201-1920				VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/619,521 <i>or J.W.K.</i> Tod T. Van Roy	<b>DENG ET AL.</b>	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 June 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 47,48,50-55 and 57-66 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 47,48,53-55 and 60 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 50,57,61 and 63 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 51-52,58-59,62,64-66 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                                  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Response to Amendment***

The examiner acknowledges the amending of claim 55.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 06/05/2006, with respect to claims 47, 48, 53-55, and 60 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 06/05/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 50 and 57 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

The examiner notes the agreement with the applicant regarding the meaning of the word 'balance' as used in claims 50 and 57, and in addition, similarly agrees with the applicant regarding the proton implantation embodiment cited in the rejection to claims 47 and 54. Please see "Remarks" filed 06/05/2006 for further detail.

The examiner agrees that the placement of the reliability enhancing layers would not have been obvious in regards to claims 48 and 55.

Lastly, the examiner agrees that the teaching of "a concentration gradient inducing defect migration" was not clearly present with respect to claims 53 and 60.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 50, 61, and 57, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beam et al. (US 2002/0150137).

With respect to claims 50 and 61, Beam discloses a VCSEL comprising: a first mirror stack (fig.2 #52), a second mirror stack (fig.2 #56), a cavity region disposed between the first mirror stack and the second mirror stack (fig.2 #20) and including an active region (fig.2 #26), a defect source (fig.2 #52/12, lattice mismatch starting at substrate continuing through DBR, also, DBR highly doped), a reliability-enhancing layer (REL) (fig.2 #54) positioned with respect to the defect source to reduce defect-induced degradation of one or more VCSEL regions, wherein the reliability-enhancing layer is configured to at least in part balance strain created by the defect source ([0037], [0020], the variation of the lattice constant through the buffer layer balances the strain problem that would occur due to the lattice mismatch between the substrate/DBR and the In containing active region, subsequently defects are reduced).

Claims 57 and 63 are rejected as they are methods of forming the layers found to be disclosed by Beam, and are therefore also disclosed by Beam.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 47, 48, 53, 54, 55, and 60 are allowed.

Claims 51, 52, 62, 65, 58, 59, 64, and 66 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tod T. Van Roy whose telephone number is (571)272-8447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TVR

MINSUN OH HARVEY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER